# OSCILLATION CRITERIA FOR FOURTH ORDER NONLINEAR DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

RAVI P. AGARWAL, SAID R. GRACE, AND ELVAN AKIN-BOHNER

 ${\bf Abstract.} \ \ {\bf Some} \ {\bf new} \ {\bf criteria} \ {\bf for} \ {\bf the} \ {\bf oscillation} \ {\bf of} \ {\bf the} \ {\bf fourth} \ {\bf order} \ {\bf difference} \ {\bf equation}$ 

 $\Delta^2 \left( a(n)(\Delta^2 x(n))^{\alpha} \right) + q(n)f(x(n+1)) = 0,$ 

where  $\alpha$  is the ratio of two positive odd integers are established.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39A10.

Key words and phrases: Discrete, oscillation, nonoscillation, comparison.

#### 1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the oscillatory behavior of the fourth order difference equation

$$\Delta^{2} \left( a(n)(\Delta^{2}x(n))^{\alpha} \right) + q(n)f(x(n+1)) = 0$$
 (1.1)

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots\}$ ,  $n_0$  is a nonnegative integer,  $\Delta$  is the forward difference operator defined by  $\Delta x(n) = x(n+1) - x(n)$ , and  $\alpha$  is the ratio of two positive odd integers,  $\{a(n)\}$ ,  $\{q(n)\}$  are positive sequences,  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function, xf(x) > 0 and  $f'(x) \ge 0$  for  $x \ne 0$ .

In what follows we shall assume that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^{-1/\alpha}(n) = \infty. \tag{1.2}$$

We introduce the operators  $L_i$ , i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, as follows:

$$L_0x = x$$
,  $L_1x = \Delta L_0x$ ,  $L_2x = a(\Delta L_1x)^{\alpha}$ ,  $L_3x = \Delta L_2x$ ,  $L_4x = \Delta L_3x$ . (1.3)

By a solution of equation (1.1), we mean a real sequence  $\{x(n)\}$  satisfying equation (1.1) for all  $n \ge n_0 - \tau + 1$ . A nontrivial solution  $\{x(n)\}$  of (1.1) is said to be nonoscillatory if it is either eventually positive or eventually negative, and it is oscillatory otherwise. The equation (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

In the last two decades there has been an increasing interest in studying oscillatory, nonoscillatory and asymptotic behavior of solutions of difference equations. Most of the work on the subject, however, has been restricted to first and second order linear, half-linear and nonlinear difference equations, as well as equations of type (1.1) with  $\alpha = 1$ . For recent contributions, we refer to [1–7, 9, 11, 12] and the references cited therein. However, it seems that little is known regarding the oscillation of equation (1.1). Therefore, the purpose of this paper is to establish a systematic study for the oscillation of equation

ISSN 1072-947X / \$8.00 / © Heldermann Verlag www.heldermann.de

(1.1). In Section 2, we shall give the proof of a critical lemma which is useful throughout this paper. Section 3 is devoted to the study of equation (1.1) when f satisfies different sets of conditions. In Section 4, we give the results for the oscillation of delay difference equation of type (1.1) with  $f(x) = x^{\beta}$ , where  $\beta$  is the ratio of two positive odd integers. We shall also establish some necessary and sufficient conditions for the bounded as well as unbounded oscillation of equations related to equation (1.1). Further, we shall investigate the oscillation of difference equations with advanced argument that are related to equation (1.1). In Section 5, we shall present a comparison criterion which allows us to extend the obtained results to more general equations of neutral type. We remark that the obtained results are presented in a form which is essentially new even for the special case when  $\alpha = 1$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

If  $\{x(n)\}$  is an eventually positive solution of equation (1.1), then  $L_4x(n) \leq 0$  eventually and since (1.2) holds, it follows that  $L_ix(n)$ , i = 1, 2, 3, are eventually of one sign. We need to distinguish the following two cases:

(I).  $L_i x(n) > 0$ , i = 0, 1, 2, 3, and  $L_4 x(n) \le 0$  eventually.

(II).  $L_0x(n) > 0$ ,  $L_1x(n) > 0$ ,  $L_2x(n) < 0$ ,  $L_3x(n) > 0$ , and  $L_4x(n) \le 0$  eventually.

Suppose that (I) holds. Since  $L_3x(n) > 0$  is decreasing for  $n \ge n_0$  (say), we obtain

$$L_2x(n) - L_2x(n_0) = \sum_{i=n_0}^{n-1} L_3x(i),$$

or

$$a(n)(\Delta L_1x(n))^{\alpha} \ge (n-n_0)L_3x(n-1) \ge (n-n_0)L_3x(n),$$

or

$$\Delta^2 x(n) \ge \left(\frac{(n-n_0)}{a(n)}\right)^{1/\alpha} L_3^{1/\alpha} x(n) \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge n_0.$$
 (2.1)

Summing (2.1) from  $n_0$  to n-1, using (I) and the decreasing property of  $L_3x(n)$ ,  $n \ge n_0$ , we have

$$\Delta x(n) \ge \left(\sum_{j=n_0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{(j-n_0)}{a(j)}\right)^{1/\alpha}\right) L_3^{1/\alpha} x(n), \quad n \ge n_0, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$x(n) \ge \left(\sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=n_0}^{s-1} \left(\frac{(j-n_0)}{a(j)}\right)^{1/\alpha}\right) L_3^{1/\alpha} x(n), \quad n \ge n_0.$$
 (2.3)

Suppose that (II) holds. Then, noting that  $L_3x(n) > 0$  is decreasing and  $L_2x(n) < 0$ , from the equation

$$L_2x(2n) - L_2x(n) = \sum_{i=n}^{2n-1} L_3x(i),$$

we see that

$$-L_2x(n) \ge nL_3x(2n),$$

which is rewritten as

$$-\Delta^2 x(n) \ge \left(\frac{n}{a(n)}\right)^{1/\alpha} L_3^{1/\alpha} x(2n) \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge n_0.$$
 (2.4)

Summing (2.4) from n to 2n-1, we obtain

$$\Delta x(n) \ge \left(\sum_{j=n}^{2n-1} \left(\frac{j}{a(j)}\right)^{1/\alpha}\right) L_3^{1/\alpha} x(4n) \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$x(n) \ge \left(\sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=s}^{2s-1} \left(\frac{j}{a(j)}\right)^{1/\alpha}\right) L_3^{1/\alpha} x(4n). \tag{2.6}$$

For  $n \geq n_0$ , we let

$$h(n, n_0; a) = \min \left\{ \sum_{j=n_0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{j - n_0}{a(j)} \right)^{1/\alpha}, \sum_{j=n}^{2n-1} \left( \frac{j}{a(j)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right\}$$

and

$$H(n, n_0; a) = \sum_{j=n_0}^{n-1} h(j, n_0; a).$$

Combining the above inequalities, we are ready to state the following crucial lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be a positive solution of equation (1.1) for  $n \ge n_0$ . Then, for all sufficiently large  $n \ge n_0$ ,

$$\Delta x(n) \ge h(n, n_0; a) L_3^{1/\alpha} x(4n)$$

and

$$x(n) \ge H(n, n_0; a) L_3^{1/\alpha} x(n).$$

We shall also need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 ([8]). If X and Y are nonnegative, then

$$X^{\lambda} - \lambda X Y^{\lambda - 1} + (\lambda - 1) Y^{\lambda} \ge 0, \quad \lambda > 1,$$

where equality holds if and only if X = Y.

#### 3. OSCILLATION CRITERIA

In what follows we shall assume that

$$f(u) - f(v) = g(u, v)(u - v)$$
 for  $u, v \neq 0$ , (3.1)

where g is a nonnegative function, and

$$f^{(1/\alpha)-1}(u)g(u,v) \ge k > 0$$
 for  $u, v \ne 0$  and  $k$  is a constant. (3.2)

Our first result is embodied in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let conditions (1,2), (3.1) and (3.2) hold, and assume that there exists a positive sequence  $\{\rho(n)\}$  such that for  $n \geq N_0 > n_0$ ,

$$\limsup_{m \to \infty} \sum_{n=N_0}^m \left[ \rho(n)q(4n) - \left( \frac{1}{k^{\alpha}} \frac{\alpha^{\alpha}}{(1+\alpha)^{1+\alpha}} \frac{(\Delta\rho(n))^{1+\alpha}}{(\rho(n)h(n,n_0;a))^{\alpha}} \right) \right] = \infty, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $h(n, n_0; a)$  is as in Lemma 2.1. Then, equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Assume for the sake of contradiction that equation (1.1) has a nonoscillatory solution  $\{x(n)\}$  and that  $\{x(n)\}$  is eventually positive. There exists a positive integer  $n_1 \geq n_0$  such that x(t) > 0 for  $n \geq n_1$ . From equation (1.1), we see that  $L_4x(t) \leq 0$  for  $n \geq n_1$  and hence  $L_ix(n)$ , i = 1, 2, 3, are eventually of one sign for  $n \geq n_1$ . It is easy to check that  $L_3x(n) > 0$  is decreasing and  $L_1x(n) > 0$  for  $n \geq n_2$  for some  $n_2 \geq n_1$ . By Lemma 2.1, there exists an integer  $n_3 \geq n_2$  such that

$$\Delta x(n) \ge h(n, n_3; a) L_3^{1/\alpha} x(4n) \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge n_3.$$
 (3.4)

Define

$$w(n) = \rho(n) \frac{L_3 x(4n)}{f(x(n))}$$
 for  $n \ge n_3$ .

Then, for  $n \geq n_3$ , we have

$$\Delta w(n) = \Delta \rho(n) \frac{L_3 x(4n+4)}{f(x(n+1))} + \rho(n) \frac{\Delta L_3 x(4n)}{f(x(n+1))}$$

$$- \rho(n) L_3 x(4n) \frac{f(x(n+1)) - f(x(n))}{f(x(n+1)) f(x(n))}$$

$$= -\rho(n) q(4n) \frac{f(x(4n))}{f(x(n+1))} + \frac{\Delta \rho(n)}{\rho(n+1)} w(n+1)$$

$$- \rho(n) L_3 x(4n) \frac{\Delta x(n) g(x(n+1), x(n))}{f(x(n+1)) f(x(n))}. \tag{3.5}$$

Using (3.1), (3.2) and (3.4) in (3.5), we get

$$\Delta w(n) \le -\rho(n)q(4n) + \frac{\Delta \rho(n)}{\rho(n+1)} w(n+1) - k \frac{\rho(n)}{\rho^{1+(1/\alpha)}(n+1)} h(n, n_3; a) w^{1+(1/\alpha)}(n+1) \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge n_3. \quad (3.6)$$

Set

$$X=(k
ho(n)h(n,n_3;a))^{lpha/(lpha+1)}rac{w(n+1)}{
ho(n+1)}\,,\quad \lambda=rac{lpha+1}{lpha}>1,$$

and

$$Y = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\alpha} \left(\frac{\Delta \rho(n)}{\rho(n+1)}\right)^{\alpha} \left[ (k\rho(n)h(n, n_3; a))^{-\alpha/(\alpha+1)} \rho(n+1) \right]^{\alpha}$$

in Lemma 2.2, to conclude that for  $n \ge n_4 > n_3$ ,

$$\frac{\Delta \rho(n)}{\rho(n+1)} w(n+1) - k \frac{\rho(n)}{\rho^{1+(1/\alpha)}(n+1)} h(n, n_3; a) w^{1+(1/\alpha)}(n+1) 
\leq \frac{1}{k^{\alpha}} \frac{\alpha^{\alpha}}{(1+\alpha)^{1+\alpha}} \frac{(\Delta \rho(n))^{1+\alpha}}{(\rho(n)h(n, n_3; a))^{\alpha}},$$

and therefore

$$\Delta w(n) \le -\rho(n)q(4n) + \frac{1}{k^{\alpha}} \frac{\alpha^{\alpha}}{(1+\alpha)^{1+\alpha}} \frac{(\Delta \rho(n))^{1+\alpha}}{(\rho(n)h(n, n_3; a))^{\alpha}}, \quad n \ge n_4.$$
 (3.7)

Summing both sides of (3.7) from  $n_4$  to  $m \ge n_4$ , we obtain

$$w(m+1) - w(n_4) \le -\sum_{n=n_4}^m \left[ \rho(n)q(4n) - \left( \frac{1}{k^{\alpha}} \frac{\alpha^{\alpha}}{(1+\alpha)^{1+\alpha}} \frac{(\Delta\rho(n))^{1+\alpha}}{(\rho(n)h(n, n_0; a))^{\alpha}} \right) \right] \to -\infty \quad \text{as} \quad m \to \infty,$$

which contradicts the fact that w(m) > 0 for  $m \ge n_3$ .

Next, we present the following interesting criteria for the oscillation of equation (1.1) with  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ .

Theorem 3.2. Let  $0 < \alpha \le 1$  and conditions (1.2), (3.1) and (3.2) hold. If there exists a positive sequence  $\{\rho(n)\}$  such that

$$0 < Q^*(n) = \rho(n)Q(n); \quad Q(n) = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} q(4j)$$
 (3.8)

and

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=N_0 > n_0}^{n} \left[ \rho(j) q(4j) - \frac{1}{4k} \frac{(\Delta \rho(j))^2 Q^{1 - (1/\alpha)}(j+1)}{\rho(j) h(j, n_0; a)} \right] = \infty, \tag{3.9}$$

where  $h(n, n_0; a)$  is as in Lemma 2.1, then equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be an eventually positive solution of equation (1.1). Define

$$y(n) = \frac{L_3 x(4n)}{f(x(n))}$$
 for  $n \ge n_0$ .

Then, for  $n \geq n_0$ , we get

$$\Delta y(n) \le -q(4n).$$

Summing the above inequality from n to u and letting  $u \to \infty$ , we find

$$y(n) \ge \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} q(4j) = Q(n), \quad n \ge n_0.$$

Next, we define

$$w(n) = \rho(n) \frac{L_3 x(4n)}{f(x(n))}, \quad n \ge n_0.$$

Then,

$$w(n) \ge Q^*(n), \quad n \ge n_0.$$
 (3.10)

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain (3.6) for  $n \ge n_3$ . Now, for  $n \ge n_4$  for some  $n_4 > n_3$ , we obtain, for  $n \ge n_4$ ,

$$\Delta w(n) \leq -\rho(n)q(4n) + \frac{\Delta \rho(n)}{\rho(n+1)}w(n+1)$$

$$-k\frac{\rho(n)}{\rho^{1+(1/\alpha)}(n+1)}h(n,n_3;a)w^2(n+1)w^{(1/\alpha)-1}(n+1)$$

$$\leq -\rho(n)q(4n) + \frac{\Delta \rho(n)}{\rho(n+1)}w(n+1)$$

$$-k\rho(n)\rho^{-1-(1/\alpha)}(n+1)h(n,n_3;a)Q^{*(1/\alpha)-1}(n+1)w^2(n+1)$$

$$= -\rho(n)q(4n) + \frac{1}{4k}\frac{(\Delta \rho(n))^2Q^{1-(1/\alpha)}(n+1)}{\rho(n)h(n,n_3;a)}$$

$$-\left[\sqrt{k\rho(n)\rho^{-2}(n+1)h(n,n_3;a)Q^{(1/\alpha)-1}(n+1)w(n+1)}\right]^2$$

$$\leq -\rho(n)q(4n) + \frac{1}{4k}\frac{(\Delta \rho(n))^2Q^{1-(1/\alpha)}(n+1)}{\rho(n)h(n,n_3;a)}.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 and hence omitted.  $\Box$ 

The following result is concerned with the oscillation of a special case of equation (1.1), namely, the equation

$$\Delta^{2} \left( a(n)(\Delta^{2}x(n))^{\alpha} \right) + q(n)x^{\alpha}(n+1) = 0, \quad \alpha \ge 1.$$
 (3.11)

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\alpha \geq 1$  and condition (1.2) hold. If there exists a positive sequence  $\{\rho(n)\}$  such that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=N_0 > n_0}^{n} \left[ \rho(j) q(4j) - \frac{(\Delta \rho(n))^2}{4\alpha \rho(n) h^{\alpha}(n, n_0; a)(n - n_0)^{\alpha - 1}} \right] = \infty, \quad (3.12)$$

where  $h(n, n_0; a)$  is an in Lemma 2.1, then all bounded solutions of equation (3.11) are oscillatory.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be an eventually bounded positive solution of equation (3.11). It is easy to see that  $\{x(n)\}$  satisfies (II). Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain (3.4),  $n \ge n_3$ , and we can easily see that

$$x(n) \ge (n - n_3)\Delta x(n), \quad n \ge n_3. \tag{3.13}$$

Define

$$w(n) = \rho(n) \frac{L_3 x(4n)}{x^{\alpha}(n)}, \quad n \ge 3.$$

Then, for  $n \geq n_3$ , we have

$$\Delta w(n) \leq -\rho(n)q(4n) + \frac{\Delta \rho(n)}{\rho(n+1)} w(n+1) - \rho(n)L_3x(4n) \frac{x^{\alpha}(n+1) - x^{\alpha}(n)}{x^{\alpha}(n+1)x^{\alpha}(n)}$$

$$\leq -\rho(n)q(4n) + \frac{\Delta \rho(n)}{\rho(n+1)} w(n+1)$$

$$-\alpha \rho(n)L_3x(4n)(\Delta x(n))^{\alpha}(\Delta x(n))^{1-\alpha} \frac{x^{\alpha-1}(n)}{(x^{\alpha}(n+1))^2}$$

$$\leq -\rho(n)q(4n) + \frac{\Delta \rho(n)}{\rho(n+1)} w(n+1)$$

$$-\alpha \frac{\rho(n)}{\rho^2(n+1)} h^{\alpha}(n, n_3; a) \left(\frac{x(n)}{\Delta x(n)}\right)^{\alpha-1} w^2(n+1).$$

Using (3.13) in the above inequality, we get for  $n \ge n_4 > n_3$ ,

$$\Delta w(n) \leq -\rho(n)q(4n) + \frac{\Delta \rho(n)}{\rho(n+1)}w(n+1)$$

$$-\alpha \frac{\rho(n)}{\rho^{2}(n+1)}h^{\alpha}(n, n_{3}; a)(n-n_{3})^{\alpha-1}w^{2}(n+1)$$

$$= -\rho(n)q(4n) + \frac{1}{4\alpha} \frac{(\Delta \rho(n))^{2}}{\rho(n)h^{\alpha}(n, n_{3}; a)(n-n_{3})^{\alpha-1}}$$

$$-\left[\sqrt{\alpha \frac{\rho(n)}{\rho^{2}(n+1)}h^{\alpha}(n, n_{3}; a)(n-n_{3})^{\alpha-1}w(n+1)}\right]^{2}$$

$$-\frac{\Delta \rho(n)}{2\rho(n+1)\sqrt{\alpha \frac{\rho(n)}{\rho^{2}(n+1)}h^{\alpha}(n, n_{3}; a)(n-n_{3})^{\alpha-1}}}\right]^{2}$$

$$\leq -\left[\rho(n)q(4n) - \frac{1}{4\alpha} \frac{(\Delta \rho(n))^{2}}{\rho(n)h^{\alpha}(n, n_{3}; a)(n-n_{3})^{\alpha-1}}\right].$$

The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1, and hence omitted.  $\Box$ 

Next, we present the following oscillation result which is for superlinear equations of type (1.1).

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\alpha > 1$  and condition (1.2) hold, and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{du}{f^{1/\alpha}(u)} < \infty \quad and \quad \int_{-\infty}^{-\infty} \frac{du}{f^{1/\alpha}(u)} < \infty.$$
 (3.14)

If there exists a positive sequence  $\{\rho(n)\}$  such that

$$\Delta \rho(n) > 0$$
 and  $\Delta(h^{\alpha}(n, n_0; a)\Delta \rho(n)) \le 0$  for  $n \ge n_0$  (3.15)

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \rho(n)q(4n) = \infty, \tag{3.16}$$

then equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Assume that  $\{x(n)\}$  is a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1), say, x(n) > 0 for  $n \ge n_0 \ge 1$ . As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, define the same w(n) to obtain equation (3.5) and inequality (3.4) for  $n \ge n_3$ . Thus,

$$\Delta w(n) \le -\rho(n)q(4n) + \Delta \rho(n) \frac{L_3 x(4n+4)}{f(x(n+1))}$$

$$\le -\rho(n)q(4n) + \Delta \rho(n) \left(\frac{L_3^{1/\alpha} x(4n)}{f^{1/\alpha} (x(n+1))}\right)^{\alpha}, \quad n \ge n_3.$$
 (3.17)

Using (3.4) in (3.17), we obtain

$$\Delta w(n) \le -\rho(n)q(4n) + \Delta \rho(n)h^{\alpha}(n, n_3; a) \left(\frac{\Delta x(n)}{f^{1/\alpha}(x(n+1))}\right)^{\alpha}.$$
 (3.18)

Summing (3.18) from  $n_4 > n_3$  to  $m \ge n_4$ , we get

$$\begin{split} & w(m+1) - w(n_4) \\ & \leq -\sum_{j=n_4}^m \rho(j) q(4j) + h^{\alpha}(n_4, n_3; a) \Delta \rho(n_4) \sum_{j=n_4}^m \left( \frac{\Delta x(j)}{f^{1/\alpha}(x(j+1))} \right)^{\alpha} \\ & \leq -\sum_{j=n_4}^m \rho(j) q(4j) + h^{\alpha}(n_4, n_3; a) \Delta \rho(n_4) \left( \sum_{j=n_4}^m \frac{\Delta x(j)}{f^{1/\alpha}(x(j+1))} \right)^{\alpha} \\ & \leq -\sum_{j=n_4}^m \rho(j) q(4j) + h^{\alpha}(n_4, n_3; a) \Delta \rho(n_4) \left( \int_{x(n_4)}^{x(m+1)} \frac{dy}{f^{1/\alpha}(y)} \right)^{\alpha}. \end{split}$$

Using (3.14) and (3.16) in the above inequality, we find that  $0 < w(m+1) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , which is a contradiction and completes the proof.

The following result is concerned with the case when

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q(4j) < \infty. \tag{3.19}$$

Theorem 3.5. If in addition to conditions (1.2), (3.14) and (3.19),

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{s=n_0}^{n} h(s, n_0; a) \left( \sum_{j=s+1}^{\infty} q(4j) \right)^{1/\alpha} = \infty,$$
 (3.20)

then equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1), say, x(n) > 0 for  $n \ge n_0 \ge 1$ . We define w(n) as in Theorem 3.1 with  $\rho(n) = 1$ , to obtain

$$\Delta\left(\frac{L_3x(4n)}{f(x(n))}\right) \le -q(4n), \quad n \ge n_3. \tag{3.21}$$

We sum (3.21) from n+1 to u-1, to get

$$0 < \frac{L_3x(4u)}{f(x(u))} \le \frac{L_3x(4n+4)}{f(x(n+1))} - \sum_{j=n+1}^{u-1} q(4j).$$

Letting  $u \to \infty$  in the above inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{L_3x(4n)}{f(x(n+1))} \ge \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} q(4j).$$

Using (3.4) in the above inequality, we find

$$\left(\frac{\Delta x(n)}{f^{1/\alpha}(x(n+1))}\right)^{\alpha} \ge h^{\alpha}(n, n_3; a) \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} q(4j), \quad n \ge n_3,$$

or

$$h(n, n_3; a) \left( \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} q(4j) \right)^{1/\alpha} \le \frac{\Delta x(n)}{f^{1/\alpha}(x(n+1))}, \quad n \ge n_3.$$

Summing the above inequality from  $n_3$  to n, we find

$$\sum_{s=n_3}^n h(s, n_3; a) \left( \sum_{j=s+1}^{\infty} q(4j) \right)^{1/\alpha} \le \sum_{i=n_3}^n \frac{\Delta x(i)}{f^{1/\alpha}(x(i+1))} \le \int_{x(n_3)}^{x(n+1)} \frac{dy}{f^{1/\alpha}(y)}.$$

Taking limit of both sides of the above inequality as  $n \to \infty$ , we arrive at the desired contradiction. This completes the proof.

Next, we present the following comparison result.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let condition (1.2) hold. If the equation

$$\Delta^{2}x(n) + \left(\frac{1}{a(n)} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \sum_{j=s}^{\infty} q(j)\right)^{1/\alpha} f^{1/\alpha}(x(n+1)) = 0$$
 (3.22)

is oscillatory, then all bounded solutions of equation (1.1) are oscillatory.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1), say, x(n) > 0 for  $n \ge n_0 > 0$ . It is easy to check that x(n) satisfies Case (II) for  $n \ge n_1 \ge n_0$ . Summing equation (1.1) from  $n \ge n_1$  to u and letting  $u \to \infty$ , we have

$$L_3x(n) \ge \left(\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} q(j)\right) f(x(n+1))$$
 for  $n \ge n_1$ .

Once again, summing this equation from  $n \geq n_1$  to u and letting  $u \to \infty$ , we obtain

$$-L_2x(n) \ge \left(\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \sum_{j=s}^{\infty} q(j)\right) f(x(n+1)) \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge n_1,$$

or

$$\Delta^2 x(n) + \left(\frac{1}{a(n)} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \sum_{j=s}^{\infty} q(j)\right)^{1/\alpha} f^{1/\alpha}(x(n+1)) \le 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge n_1.$$

Applying known result (see [2,9], also Theorem 5.1 (below)), we see that equation (3.22) is nonoscillatory, which contradicts the hypothesis and completes the proof.

## 4. FURTHER OSCILLATION CRITERIA

In this section we shall consider difference equations of type (1.1) with delay, i.e.,

$$L_4x(n) + q(n)f(x[n-\tau+1]) = 0, (4.1)$$

where  $\tau \geq 0$  is a real number. Our main goal is to establish some oscillation criteria for equation (4.1) and some necessary and sufficient conditions for the equation

$$L_4 x(n) + q(n) x^{\beta} [n - \tau + 1] = 0, \tag{4.2}$$

where  $\beta$  is the ratio of two positive odd integers.

Theorem 4.1. Let condition (1.2) hold and assume that f satisfies

$$-f(-xy) \ge f(xy) \ge f(x)f(y) \quad \text{for} \quad xy > 0. \tag{4.3}$$

If for all large  $n \ge n_0 + \tau$  the first order difference equation

$$\Delta y(n) + q(n)f(H(n-\tau, n_0; a))f(y^{1/\alpha}[n-\tau]) = 0$$
(4.4)

is oscillatory, then equation (4.1) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (4.1), say, x(n) > 0 for  $n \ge n_0 \ge 0$ . By Lemma 2.1, there exists  $n_1 \ge n_0 + \tau$  so large that

$$x[n-\tau+1] \ge H(n-\tau, n_0; a) L_3^{1/\alpha} x[n-\tau] \text{ for } n \ge n_1.$$
 (4.5)

Using (4.5) and (4.3) in equation (4.1), we have

$$-\Delta L_3 x(n) \ge q(n) f(x[n-\tau])$$

$$\geq q(n)f(H(n-\tau,n_0;a))f\left(L_3^{1/\alpha}x[n-\tau]\right), \quad n\geq n_1.$$

Substituting u(n) for  $L_3x(n)$ ,  $n \geq n_1$ , we get

$$-\Delta u(n) \ge q(n)f(H(n-\tau, n_0; a))f\left(u^{1/\alpha}[n-\tau]\right) \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge n_1.$$
 (4.6)

Summing (4.6) from  $n \geq n_1$  to  $k \geq n$  and letting  $k \to \infty$ , we obtain

$$u(n) \ge \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} q(s) f(H(s-\tau, n_0; a)) f\left(u^{1/\alpha}[s-\tau]\right).$$

Now as in [2] it is easy to conclude that there exists a positive solution  $\{y(n)\}$  of equation (4.4) with  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} y(n)=0$ , which contradicts the hypothesis and completes the proof.

The following corollaries are immediate.

Corollary 4.1. Let condition (1.2) hold. If for all large  $n \ge n_0 + \tau$ ,

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \sum_{j=n-\tau}^{n-1} q(j) H^{\alpha}(j-\tau, n_0; a) > \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau+1}\right)^{\tau+1}, \quad \text{when} \quad \alpha = \beta$$
 (4.7)

or

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q(j)H^{\beta}(j-\tau, n_0; a) = \infty, \quad \text{when} \quad \beta < \alpha$$
(4.8),

then equation (4.2) is oscillatory.

Corollary 4.2. Let condition (1.2) hold. If for all large  $n \ge n_0 + \tau$ ,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q(j)H_1^{\beta}(j-\tau, n_0; a) = \infty, \quad \text{when} \quad \beta < \alpha, \tag{4.9}$$

where

$$H_1(n, n_0; a) = \sum_{k=n_0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=n_0}^{k-1} \left( \frac{j - n_0}{a(j)} \right)^{1/\alpha},$$

then all unbounded solutions of equation (4.2) are oscillatory.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be an unbounded nonoscillatory solution of equation (4.2), say, x(n) > 0 for  $n \ge n_0$ . It is easy to see that  $\{x(n)\}$  satisfies Case (I), and so,

$$x(n) \ge H_1(n, n_0; a) L_3^{1/\alpha} x(n), \quad n \ge n_1 \ge n_0.$$

The rest of the proof is easy and hence omitted.

For the oscillation of all bounded solutions of equation (4.1) we present the following result.

Theorem 4.2. Let condition (2.1) hold. If

$$\sum_{s_3=n_0}^{\infty} \sum_{s_2=s_3}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{a(s_2)} \sum_{s_1=s_2}^{\infty} \sum_{s=s_1}^{\infty} q(s) \right)^{1/\alpha} = \infty, \tag{4.10}$$

then all bounded solutions of equation (4.1) are oscillatory.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be a bounded nonoscillatory solution of equation (4.1), say, x(n) > 0 for  $n \ge n_0 \ge 0$ . Clearly, x(n) satisfies (II) for  $n \ge n_1$  for some  $n_1 \ge n_0$ . Now, there exist a constant c > 0 and an  $n_2 \ge n_1$  such that

$$\frac{c}{2} \le x[n-\tau+1] \le c \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge n_2. \tag{4.11}$$

Using (4.11) in equation (4.1), we have

$$L_4x(n) + q(n)f(c/2) \le 0$$
 for  $n \ge n_2$ .

Summing this inequality from  $n \geq n_2$  to  $u \geq n$  and letting  $u \to \infty$ , we have

$$L_3x(n) \ge f(c/2) \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} q(s).$$

Once again, summing the above inequality from  $n \geq n_2$  to u and letting  $u \to \infty$ , we obtain

$$-\Delta^2 x(n) \ge f^{1/\alpha}(c/2) \left( \frac{1}{a(n)} \sum_{s_1=n}^{\infty} \sum_{s=s_1}^{\infty} q(s) \right)^{1/\alpha}.$$

Therefore, we find

$$x(n) \ge x(n_2) + f^{1/\alpha} \left(\frac{c}{2}\right) \sum_{s_3=n_2}^{\infty} \sum_{s_2=s_3}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{a(s_2)} \sum_{s_1=s_2}^{\infty} \sum_{s=s_1}^{\infty} q(s)\right)^{1/\alpha} \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

which is a contradiction and completes the proof.

The following theorem is concerned with a necessary and sufficient condition for the oscillation of all unbounded solutions of equation (4.2) with  $\beta < \alpha$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $\beta < \alpha$  and condition (1.2) hold. All unbounded solutions of equation (4.2) are oscillatory if and only if condition (4.9) holds.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be an unbounded nonoscillatory solution of equation (4.2), say, x(n) > 0 for  $n \ge n_0 \ge 0$ . Clearly, x(n) satisfies (I) for  $n \ge n_1$  for some  $n_1 \ge n_0$ . The proof of the "if" part is as in Corollary 4.2 and hence omitted.

To prove the "only if" part it suffices to assume that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q(j)H_1^{\beta}(j-\tau, n_0; a) < \infty, \tag{4.12}$$

where  $H_1$  is as in Corollary 4.2 and show the existence of a nonoscillatory solution of equation (4.2).

Let c > 0 be an arbitrary constant and choose  $N > n_1 \ge n_0 + \tau$  sufficiently large so that

$$\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} q(j) H_1^{\beta}(j-\tau, n_0; a) < c^{1-(\beta/\alpha)}. \tag{4.13}$$

Define the set  $X_1$  of all real sequences  $\{x(n)\}, n \geq N$ , i.e.,

$$X_1 = \{x(n) : x(n) \text{ is defined for } n \ge N\}.$$

Now define the set X by

$$X = \{x(n) \in X_1 : c_1 H_1(n, N; a) \le x(n) \le c_2 H_1(n, N; a), n \ge N\},\$$

where  $c_1 = (c/2)^{1/\alpha}$  and  $c_2 = (2c)^{1/\alpha}$ .

Clearly, X is a closed convex subset of the locally convex space  $X_1$  and X is also a compact subset of  $X_1$ .

Let S be a mapping defined on X as follows: For  $x \in X$ ,

$$(Sx)(n) = \sum_{s_3=N}^{n-1} \sum_{s_2=N}^{s_3-1} \left( \frac{1}{a(s_2)} \left[ c(s_2 - N) + \sum_{s_1=N}^{s_2-1} \sum_{s=s_1}^{\infty} q(s) x^{\beta} [s - \tau + 1] \right] \right)^{1/\alpha}$$
for  $n \ge N$ . (4.14)

It is easy to check that S is well defined and continuous (see Theorem 16.4 [6]). It can be shown without any difficulty that S maps X into itself and S(X) is relatively compact in  $X_1$ . Therefore, by the Schauder fixed point theorem, S has a fixed point x in X which satisfies

$$x(n) = \sum_{s_3=N}^{n-1} \sum_{s_2=N}^{s_2-1} \left( \frac{1}{a(s_2)} \left[ c(s_2 - N) + \sum_{s_1=N}^{s_2-1} \sum_{s=s_1}^{\infty} q(s) x^{\beta} [s - \tau + 1] \right] \right)^{1/\alpha}$$
for  $n > N$ 

Taking the difference 4-times on the above equation, we see that x = x(n) is a positive solution of equation (4.2) for  $n \ge N$  such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{x(n)}{H_1(n,N;a)}=\gamma>0,\quad \gamma\quad\text{is a constant}.$$

Theorem 4.3 can be restated as follows:

**Theorem 4.3'.** Let  $\beta < \alpha$  and condition (1.2) hold. Equation (4.2) has a nonoscillatory solution  $\{x(n)\}$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{x(n)}{H_1(n,n_0;a)}$  is a nonzero constant,  $n \geq n_0$ , if and only if

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q(j)H_1^{\beta}(j-\tau,n_0;a) < \infty.$$

Next, we present the following necessary and sufficient condition for the oscillation of all bounded solutions of equation (4.2) with  $\beta > \alpha$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $\beta > \alpha$  and condition (1.2) hold. All bounded solutions of equation (4.2) are oscillatory if and only if condition (4.10) holds.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be a bounded nonoscillatory solution of equation (4.2), say, x(n) > 0 for  $n \ge n_0 \ge 0$ . Clearly, x(n) satisfies (II) for  $n \ge n_1$  for some  $n_1 \ge n_0$ . The proof of the "if" part is presented in Theorem 4.2 and hence omitted.

The "only if" part of the theorem is proved as follows: Let c > 0 be a given arbitrary constant, and choose a large  $N \ge n_1$  such that

$$\sum_{s_3=N}^{\infty} \sum_{s_2=s_3}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{a(s_2)} \sum_{s_1=s_2}^{\infty} \sum_{s=s_1}^{\infty} q(s) \right)^{1/\alpha} \le \frac{1}{2} c^{1-(\beta/\alpha)}.$$

We introduce the Banach space  $\ell^{\infty}$  of all bounded, real sequences  $\{x(n)\}$   $(n \ge N)$  with the norm  $||x|| = \sup_n |x(n)|$ . We define a bounded convex and closed subset  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\ell^{\infty}$  as

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{x \in \ell^\infty: \ c/2 \leq x[n-\tau+1] \leq c, \ \ n \geq N \right\}.$$

Next, let T be a mapping defined on  $\mathcal{B}$  as follows: For  $x = x(n) \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$(Tx)(n) = c - \sum_{s_3=N}^{n-1} \sum_{s_2=s_3}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{a(s_2)} \sum_{s_1=s_2}^{\infty} \sum_{s=s_1}^{\infty} q(s) x^{\beta} [s-\tau+1] \right)^{1/\alpha}.$$

It is easy to check that T maps  $\mathcal B$  into itself and T is a continuous mapping. Also,  $T(\mathcal B)$  is relatively compact in  $\ell^\infty$ . Therefore, by Schauder fixed point theorem, there exists an element  $x\in \mathcal B$  such that x=Tx. It is clear that the fixed point x=x(n) gives a positive solution of equation (4.2) for  $n\geq N$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty}x(n)=c$  (for details, see Theorem 3.1 in [12] and Theorem 16.5 in [6]).  $\square$ 

Once again, we restate Theorem 4.4 as follows:

Theorem 4.4'. Let  $\beta > \alpha$  and condition (1.2) hold. Equation (4.2) has a nonoscillatory solution  $\{x(n)\}$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x(n)$  is a nonzero constant if and only if

$$\sum_{s_2=s_3}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{a(s_2)} \sum_{s_1=s_2}^{\infty} \sum_{s=s_1}^{\infty} q(s) \right)^{1/\alpha} < \infty.$$

Next, we consider equation (4.1) when  $\tau < 0$ , i.e., we consider the advanced difference equation

$$L_4x(n) + q(n)f(x[n+\tau+1]) = 0. (4.15)$$

**Theorem 4.5.** Let condition (1.2) hold. Then, equation (4.15) is oscillatory if either one of the following conditions holds  $(I_1)$ .  $\tau > 1$ ,

$$\frac{f^{1/\alpha}(x)}{x} \ge k > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \ne 0 \quad \text{and} \quad k \text{ is a constant}$$
 (4.16)

and for all large  $n > n_0$ ,

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+\tau-1} h(i, n_0; a) \left( \sum_{s=4i}^{\infty} q(s) \right)^{1/\alpha} > \frac{1}{k} \left( \frac{\tau - 1}{\tau} \right)^{\tau}, \tag{4.17}$$

or, for all large  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup H(n, n_0; a) \left( \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} q(s) \right)^{1/\alpha} > \frac{1}{k}. \tag{4.18}$$

(I<sub>2</sub>).  $\tau \geq 1$ , condition (3.14) holds and for all large  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$\sum_{s=i}^{\infty} h(i, n_0; a) \left( \sum_{s=i}^{\infty} q(s) \right)^{1/\alpha} = \infty.$$
 (4.19)

*Proof.* Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be an eventually positive solution of equation (4.15), say, x(n) > 0 for  $n \ge n_0$ . By Lemma 2.1, there exists an  $n_1 \ge n_0$  such that

$$\Delta x(n) \ge h(n, n_0; a) L_3^{1/\alpha} x(4n) \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge n_1$$
 (4.20)

and

$$x(n) \ge H(n, n_0; a) L_3^{1/\alpha} x(n) \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge n_1.$$
 (4.21)

Summing equation (4.15) from  $n \ge n_1$  to  $u \ge n$  and letting  $u \to \infty$ , we get

$$L_3x(n) \ge \left(\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} q(s)\right) f(x[n+\tau]). \tag{4.22}$$

Using (4.22) in (4.20) and the fact that  $\Delta x(n) > 0$  for  $n \ge n_1$ , we have

$$\Delta x(n) \ge h(n, n_0; a) L_3^{1/\alpha} x(4n)$$

$$\geq h(n, n_0; a) \left(\sum_{s=4n}^{\infty} q(s)\right)^{1/\alpha} f^{1/\alpha}(x[n+\tau]) \text{ for } n \geq n_1.$$
 (4.23)

Using condition (4.16) in (4.23), we obtain

$$\Delta x(n) \ge kh(n, n_0; a) \left(\sum_{s=4n}^{\infty} q(s)\right)^{1/\alpha} x[n+\tau] \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge n_1.$$
 (4.24)

But, in view of Theorem 3' in [11] and condition (4.17), inequality (4.24) has no eventually positive solution, which is a contradiction.

Next, using (4.21) in (4.22), we obtain

$$x(n) \ge H(n, n_0; a) L_3^{1/\alpha} x(n) \ge H(n, n_0; a) \left(\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} q(s)\right)^{1/\alpha} f^{1/\alpha}(x(n)),$$

or

$$\frac{x(n)}{f^{1/\alpha}(x(n))} \ge H(n, n_0; a) \left(\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} q(s)\right)^{1/\alpha} \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge n_1.$$
 (4.25)

Taking lim sup of both sides of the above inequality, we arrive at the desired contradiction.

(I<sub>2</sub>). Using the fact that  $\Delta x(n) > 0$  for  $n \ge n_1$  in (4.23), we get

$$\Delta x(n) \ge h(n, n_0; a) \left( \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} q(s) \right)^{1/\alpha} f^{1/\alpha}(x(n+1)) \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge n_1.$$
 (4.26)

Summing inequality (4.26) from  $n_1$  to n, we find

$$\sum_{s=n_1}^n h(s, n_0; a) \left( \sum_{j=s}^{\infty} q(j) \right)^{1/\alpha} \le \sum_{s=n_1}^n \frac{\Delta x(s)}{f^{1/\alpha}(x(s+1))} \le \int_{x(n_1)}^{x(n+1)} \frac{du}{f^{1/\alpha}(u)} < \infty$$

as 
$$n \to \infty$$
, which contradicts condition (4.19).

The following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 4.3. Let condition (1.2) hold. If  $\lim_{x\to\infty} xf^{-1/\alpha}(x) = c$ , where c is a nonnegative constant, and

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} H(n,n_0;a) \left(\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} q(s)\right)^{1/\alpha} > c,$$

then all unbounded solutions of equation (4.1) are oscillatory.

*Proof.* It follows from the inequality (4.25).

Remark 4.1. We may note that Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 can be extended to equation (4.1). Such investigations are left to the reader.

## 5. Comparison and Extensions

Here, we shall give a comparison theorem which is useful to extend the obtained results to neutral equations of the form

$$L_4(x(n) + px[n - \delta\sigma]) + q(n)f(x[n - \tau + 1]) = 0, (5.1; \delta)$$

where  $L_4$  is defined in (1.3), q and f are as in equation (1.1),  $\delta = \pm 1$ , p,  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  are nonnegative constants.

Now, we shall prove the following comparison theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let condition (1.2) hold. If the inequality

$$L_4x(n) + q(n)f(x[n-\tau+1]) \le 0 \ (\ge 0)$$
 (5.2)

has an eventually positive (negative) solution, then equation (4.1) also has eventually positive (negative) solution.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be an eventually positive solution of inequality (5.2). There exists an  $n_0 \geq 0$  such that x(n) > 0 for  $n \geq n_0$  and x(n) satisfies either (I) or (II) for  $n \geq n_0$ . Summing inequality (5.2) from  $n \geq n_0$  to  $n \geq n_0$  and letting  $n \geq n_0$ , we get

$$L_3x(n) \ge \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} q(s)f(x[s-\tau+1]).$$
 (5.3)

Now, we need to distinguish the following two cases:

Case (I).  $L_i x(n) > 0$  for  $n \ge n_0$ , i = 0, 1, 2, 3. Summing (5.3) from  $n_0$  to  $n-1 \ge n_0$ , we have

$$L_2x(n) \ge \sum_{s_1=n_0}^{n-1} \sum_{s=s_1}^{\infty} q(s)f(x[s-\tau+1]),$$

or

$$\Delta^{2}x(n) \ge \left(\frac{1}{a(n)} \sum_{s_{1}=n_{0}}^{n-1} \sum_{s=s_{1}}^{\infty} q(s) f(x[s-\tau+1])\right)^{1/\alpha}$$

and so,

$$x(n) \ge \sum_{s_3=n_0}^{n-1} \sum_{s_2=n_0}^{s_3-1} \left( \frac{1}{a(s_2)} \sum_{s_1=n_0}^{s_2-1} \sum_{s=s_1}^{\infty} q(s) f(x[s-\tau+1]) \right)^{1/\alpha}$$
  
=:  $c + \Phi(n, x[n-\tau+1]),$  (5.4)

where  $x(n_0) = c$ .

Now, it is easy to show the existence of a positive solution to the equation

$$w(n) = c + \Phi(n, w[n-\tau+1])$$
 for  $n \ge n_0$ .

For this, we define the sequence  $\{w_n(k)\}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ , such that  $w_0(n) = x(n)$ , and

$$w_{k+1}(n) = \begin{cases} c + \Phi(n, w_k[n - \tau + 1]) & \text{for } n \ge n_0, \\ c & \text{for } n \le n_0. \end{cases}$$
 (5.5)

Then, one can easily see that  $w_k(n)$  is well-defined and

$$0 \le w_k(n) \le x(n), \quad c \le w_{k+1}(n) \le w_k(n).$$

Thus, the sequence  $\{w_k(n)\}$  is positive and nonincreasing in k for each n. This means we may define  $w(n) = \lim_{k \to \infty} w_k(n)$ . Since  $0 < w(n) \le w_k(n) \le x(n)$  for all  $k \ge 0$  and since

$$\Phi(n, w_k[n-\tau+1]) \le \Phi(n, x[n-\tau+1]),$$

the convergence of (5.5) is uniform with respect to k. Now, taking the limit of both sides of (5.5), we have

$$w(n) = c + \Phi(n, w[n - \tau + 1]). \tag{5.6}$$

Finally, taking the differences of (5.6), we obtain

$$L_4w(n) + q(n)f(w[n-\tau+1]) = 0.$$

Case (II).  $L_0x(n) > 0$ ,  $L_1x(n) > 0$ ,  $L_2x(n) < 0$ ,  $L_3x(n) > 0$  for  $n \ge n_0$ . Summing (5.3) from  $n \ge n_0$  to u and letting  $u \to \infty$ , we have

$$-\Delta^2 x(n) \ge \left(\frac{1}{a(n)} \sum_{s_1=n}^{\infty} \sum_{s=s_1}^{\infty} q(s) f(x[s-\tau+1])\right)^{1/\alpha}$$

and so

$$x(n) \ge x(n_0) + \sum_{s_3=n_0}^{n-1} \sum_{s_3=s_2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{a(s_2)} \sum_{s_1=s_2}^{\infty} \sum_{s=s_1}^{\infty} q(s) f(x[s-\tau+1]) \right)^{1/\alpha}$$
  
=:  $c + \Psi(x, x[n-\tau+1]),$ 

where  $x(n_0) = c$ .

The rest of the proof is similar to that of Case (I) and hence omitted.  $\Box$ 

Next, we shall employ Theorem 5.1 to extend the obtained results to the neutral difference equation (5.1;  $\delta$ ). In fact, we have the following comparison results.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let conditions (1.2) and (4.3) hold,  $\delta = 1$  and 0 . If the equation

$$L_4x(n) + q(n)f(1-p)f(x[n-\tau+1]) = 0 (5.7)$$

is oscillatory, then equation (5.1;1) is oscillatory.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let conditions (1.2) and (4.3) hold,  $\delta = -1$  and p > 1. If the equation

$$L_4x(n) + q(n)f\left(\frac{p-1}{p^2}\right)f(x[n-\tau-\sigma+1]) = 0$$
 (5.8)

is oscillatory, then equation (5.1; -1) is oscillatory.

*Proofs of Theorems* 5.2 and 5.3. Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be a nonoscillatory solution of equation  $(5.1; \delta)$ , say, x(n) > 0 for  $n \ge n_0 \ge 0$ . Define

$$y(n) = x(n) + px[n - \delta\sigma], \quad n \ge n_0.$$

Then, for  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$L_4y(n) + q(n)f(x[n-\tau+1]) = 0. (5.9)$$

It is easy to check that there exists an  $n_1 \ge n_0$  such that  $\Delta y(n) > 0$  for  $n \ge n_1$ . Now, by using the hypotheses of Theorem 5.2, we find

$$x(n) = y(n) - px[n - \sigma] = y(n) - p(y[n - \sigma] - px[n - 2\sigma])$$
  
 
$$\geq y(n) - py[n - \sigma] \geq (1 - p)y(n) \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq n_0.$$
 (5.10)

Using (5.10) and condition (4.3) in equation (5.9), we obtain

$$L_4 y(n) + q(n) f(1-p) f(y[n-\tau+1]) \le 0 \text{ for } n \ge n_1.$$
 (5.11)

Next, using the hypotheses of Theorem 5.3, we find

$$x(n) = \frac{1}{p}(y[n-\sigma] - x[n-\sigma]) = \frac{1}{p}y[n-\sigma] - \frac{1}{p^2}y[n-2\sigma] + \frac{1}{p^2}x[n-2\sigma]$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{p-1}{p^2}\right)y[n-\sigma] \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq n_1.$$
(5.12)

Using (5.12) and condition (4.3) in equation (5.9), we have

$$L_4 y(n) + q(n) f\left(\frac{p-1}{p^2}\right) f(y[n-\sigma-\tau+1]) \le 0 \text{ for } n \ge n_1.$$
 (5.13)

Inequalities (5.11) and (5.13) have eventually positive solutions and so, by Theorem 5.1, equations (5.7) and (5.8) have also eventually positive solutions, which contradicts the hypotheses and completes the proofs.

Finally, we shall extend our previous results to equation (1.1), or equation (4.1) when the function f need not be monotonic. For this the following notation and a lemma due to Mahfoud [10] will be needed.

$$\mathbb{R}_{t_0} = \begin{cases} (-\infty, -t_0] \cup [t_0, \infty) & \text{if } t_0 > 0, \\ \mathbb{R} - \{0\} & \text{if } t_0 = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

 $C_B(\mathbb{R}_{t_0}) = \{ f \in C(\mathbb{R}) : f \text{ is of bounded variation on any interval } [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{t_0} \}.$ 

**Lemma 5.1.** Suppose  $t_0 > 0$  and  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}) = \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) : xf(x) > 0 \text{ for } x \neq 0\}$ . Then,  $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R}_{t_0})$  if and only if f(x) = H(x)G(x) for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $G : \mathbb{R}_{t_0} \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is nondecreasing on  $(-\infty, -t_0)$  and nonincreasing on  $(t_0, \infty)$  and  $H : \mathbb{R}_{t_0} \to \mathbb{R}$  is nondecreasing on  $\mathbb{R}_{t_0}$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** Let condition (1.2) hold and assume that  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}_{t_0})$ ,  $t_0 \geq 0$ , and let G and H be a pair of continuous components of f with H being the nondecreasing one. If, for all large  $n > n_0 + \tau$ , the equation

$$L_4x(n) + q(n)G(g(n-\tau+1, n_0; a))H(x[n-\tau+1]) = 0$$
(5.14)

is oscillatory, where

$$g(n, n_0; a) = \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=n_1}^{s-1} \left(\frac{j-n_0}{a(j)}\right)^{1/\alpha}, \quad n \ge n_0 + \tau,$$

then equation (4.1) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be an eventually positive solution of equation (4.1), say, x(n) > 0 for  $n \ge n_0 \ge 0$ . There exist a constant b > 0 and an  $n_1 \ge n_0$  such that

$$L_3x(n) \leq b$$
 for  $n \geq n_1$ .

Summing the above inequality 3-times from  $n_1$  to n-1, we get

$$x(n) \le b \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=n_1}^{s-1} \left( \frac{j-n_1}{a(j)} \right)^{1/\alpha} =: g(n, n_1; a) \text{ for } n \ge n_1.$$

Now there exists an  $n_2 \ge n_1 + \tau$  such that

$$x(n-\tau+1) \le g(n-\tau+1, n_1; a)$$
 for  $n \ge n_2$ . (5.15)

Next, since

$$f(x[n-\tau+1]) = G(x[n-\tau+1])H(x[n-\tau+1])$$
  
 
$$\geq G(g(n-\tau+1, n_1; a))H(x[n-\tau+1]), \quad n \geq n_2,$$

it follows that

$$L_4x(n)+q(n)G(g(n-\tau+1,n_1;a))H(x[n-\tau+1])\leq 0$$
 for  $n\geq n_2$ .  
By applying Theorem 5.1, we arrive at the desired contradiction.

Remark 5.1. The results of this paper are presented in a form which can be easily extended to higher order nonlinear difference equations of the form

$$\Delta^{m}\left(a(n)(\Delta^{m}x(n))^{\alpha}\right) + \delta q(n)f(x[n-\tau+1]) = 0$$
(5.16; \delta)

and the forced equation

$$\Delta^m \left( a(n)(\Delta^m x(n))^{\alpha} \right) + \delta q(n) f(x[n-\tau+1]) = e(n), \tag{5.17}; \delta$$

where  $m \geq 1$  is an integer,  $\delta = \pm 1$ ,  $\{e(n)\}$  is a sequence of real numbers f, q(n),  $\tau$  and  $\alpha$  are as in equation (4.1). The details and appropriate investigations are left to the reader.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The last author acknowledges financial support through a travel grant from the Association of Women in Mathematics.

### REFERENCES

- 1. R. P. AGARWAL, Difference equations and inequalities. Theory, methods, and applications. Second edition. *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 228. *Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York*, 2000.
- 2. R. P. AGARWAL, M. BOHNER, S. R. GRACE, and D. O'REGAN, Discrete oscillation theory. *Hindawi Publishing Corporation, New York*, 2005.
- 3. R. P. AGARWAL, S. R. GRACE, and D. O'REGAN, Oscillation theory for difference and functional differential equations. *Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht,* 2000.
- 4. R. P. AGARWAL, S. R. GRACE, and D. O'REGAN, On the oscillation of certain second order difference equations. In honour of Professor Allan Peterson on the occasion of his 60th birthday, Part II. J. Difference Equ. Appl. 9(2003), No. 1, 109-119.
- 5. R. P. AGARWAL, S. R. GRACE, and D. O'REGAN, On the oscillation of certain third-order difference equations. *Adv. Difference Equ.* 2005, No. 3, 345–367.
- R. P. AGARWAL and P. J. Y. WONG, Advanced topics in difference equations. Mathematics and its Applications, 404. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1997.
- 7. S. R. GRACE and B. S. LALLI, Oscillation theorems for second order delay and neutral difference equations. *Utilitas Math.* 45(1994), 197–211.
- G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD, and G. PÓLYA, Inequalities. Reprint of the 1952 edition. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- G. Ladas and C. Qian, Comparison results and linearized oscillations for higher-order difference equations. *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 15(1992), No. 1, 129-142.
- 10. W. F. MAHFOUD, Oscillation and asymptotic behavior of solutions of Nth order nonlinear delay differential equations. J. Differential Equations 24(1977), No. 1, 75–98.
- CH. G. PHILOS, On oscillations of some difference equations. Funkcial. Ekvac. 34(1991), No. 1, 157-172.
- 12. X. Zhou and J. Yan, Oscillatory and asymptotic properties of higher order nonlinear difference equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* 31(1998), No. 3-4, 493-502.

(Received 17.08.2006)

# Authors' addresses:

R. P. Agarwal
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Florida Institute of Technology
Melbourne, FL 32901, U.S.A.
E-mail: agarwal@fit.edu

# S. R. Grace

Department of Engineering Mathematics Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University Orman, Giza 12221, Egypt E-mail: srgrace@eng.cu.edu.eg

E. Akin-Bohner

Department of Mathematics & Statistics University of Missouri–Rolla Rolla, MO 65409–0020, U.S.A. E-mail: akine@umr.edu